The use of surface electromyography for diagnosis of muscle dysfunction with pain symptoms
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Surface electromyography (sEMG) is generally considered by neurologists as an unacceptable diagnostic tool for examination of changes in the activity of muscle motor units in patients with non-specific back pain. The aim of this review is to demonstrate the usefulness of neurophysiological findings for the application of sEMG in differentiation of root-conflict and non-root-conflict sources of muscle pathologies with pain as the main symptom.

In the first experiment carried out on 30 patients with clinically recognized myofascial pain, an attempt was undertaken to find out whether surface electromyographic (sEMG) readings during relaxation and maximal contraction revealed differences in the activity of muscles with or without trigger points (TrPs) detected by palpation. In the second experiment carried out on 40 office workers similar methodologies of clinical and neurophysiological examination were used, however, with the aim to verify a hypothesis about the dysfunction of cervical and shoulder girdle muscle motor units as the cause of cervicogenic headache (CEH).

The results of both experiments led to the following conclusions: 1. Surface EMG performed at rest and during maximal contraction is a precise diagnostic tool that can be used for detection of changes in the activity of motor units in patients with myofascial pain syndrome and cervicogenic headache; 2. Surface EMG readings at rest, with an amplitude exceeding 25μV, may be helpful for evaluation of increased muscle tension, which leads to a decrease of the activity of muscle motor units during maximal contraction.
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Introduction

Surface electromyography (sEMG), commonly used for clinical purposes, seems to be fairly unpopular among neurologists and traditional neurophysiologists, who prefer intramuscular (needle) electromyography (nEMG) examination as the golden standard for confirmation of neurogenic or myogenic changes in muscle motor units of patients with various movement disorders. It seems that this approach originated from...
a paper published by Pullman et al. in 2000 [1], which discussed the use of sEMG in the evaluation of patients with neuromuscular diseases, low back pain and motor control disorders. More than 2,500 original articles, reviews and books have been published on the scope of sEMG application, its benefits and risks and the extent to which sEMG techniques vary. Researchers have also assessed the strengths and weaknesses of sEMG in specific clinical applications and concluded that “…sEMG is considered unacceptable as a clinical tool in the diagnosis of neuromuscular disease and evaluation of patients with low back pain”… and “…sEMG is considered an acceptable tool for kinesiologic analysis of movement disorders and evaluation of gait and posture disturbances”. Pullman et al. summarized to some degree the outstanding work of Basmanian and DeLuca [2], but they were stimulated by more extensive studies by Merletti et al. as well as their followers [3, 4]. Results of studies on healthy volunteers led to the development of methods of non-invasive acquisition and processing of sEMG readings, which started to be performed since the pioneer works of Fritz Buchtal (1907-2003) [5].

Surprisingly, the available PubMed data for years 2000-2013 indicate a great interest among rehabilitation specialists, physical therapists, orthopedists, neurologists and neurosurgeons in non-invasive sEMG assessment of treatment effectiveness of patients with different movement disorders. A simple keyword search using “surface EMG” and “treatment” resulted in 1,590 records. Moreover, some of these studies are devoted to such complicated neurologic disorders as stroke [6], or to evaluation of treatment of patients with symptoms of specific or non-specific back pain [7]. On the basis of results of earlier research [8, 9], the aim of the present study is to provide convincing evidence that both surface EMG as well as needle EMG examinations offer a valuable insight into the pathological activities of certain groups of muscles in patients with the chronic spine-related muscle pain and cervicogenic headache.

Patients with chronic muscle pain
The first experiment showed that spine-related muscle pain can affect muscle strength and motor unit activity in 30 patients with clinically recognized myofascial pain, and that sEMG readings during relaxation and maximal contraction reveal differences in the activity of muscles with or without trigger points (TrPs) detected by palpation. It also included an analysis of the possible co-appearance of characteristic spontaneous activity in nEMG readings alongside TrPs (Fig. 1).

Patients with non-specific cervical and back pain were preliminarily assessed with the use of clinical, neuroimaging and electroneurographic examinations. Muscle pain was measured with a visual analog scale (VAS = 6), and strength with Lovett’s scale (3.5); trigger points were detected bilaterally by palpation (in 55% of all patients). A Keypoint System (Medtronic A/S, Skovlunde, Denmark) was used for neurophysiological tests. For comparison, 30 healthy volunteers were also examined in the same way as the patients. Both sEMG and nEMG at rest and during 5-second maximal contraction were used to examine motor unit activity, mostly on the basis of readings from painful trapezius muscles. Examinations were also performed bilaterally in the gluteus medius, tensor fasciae latae and lumbar erector spinae muscles.

The mean sEMG amplitude obtained from the trapezius and cervical or lumbar axial muscles at rest increased > 25 μV in patients, as compared with 15 μV in healthy subjects (p = 0.05). This was indicative of increased muscle tension, which in turn decreased the muscle ability of maximal contractions (Fig. 2A). Mean values of sEMG amplitudes during maximal contractions were decreased
The use of surface electromyography for diagnosis of muscle dysfunction with pain symptoms

Bilaterally in patients (723 μV) in comparison with the reference values (1606 μV) (p = 0.05) (Fig. 2B).

Electroneurographic (ENG) examinations of impulses in peripheral parts of motor fibers (compound muscle action potentials – CMAP) within suprascapular and femoral nerves were performed in all participants in order to exclude consequences of radiculopathies (the studied patients suffered only from non-specific cervical and low back pain). No changes in motor fiber transmission were observed in any of the patients (N = 30) or healthy volunteers (N = 30) when parameters of amplitudes and latencies were compared in the CMAP.

During the nEMG analysis it was assumed that the spontaneous activity typical for muscles with active TrPs would not show the synchronous pattern characteristic for high-frequency firing, and that it would have a different morphology from end-plate potentials, denervation potentials (both fibrillations and positive sharp waves), fasciculations and pseudomyotonic discharges. The readings of spontaneous activity from muscles with TrPs were exclusively asynchronous with a mean frequency of 28 Hz. They consisted mainly of polyphasic potentials with a duration of 16.4 ms and average amplitude of 362 μV.

This firing was transient and some records (7/13 cases) showed to be interrupted by a period of “bioelectrical silence”. Sometimes firings could be also observed in simultaneous sEMG readings (Fig. 3).

The conclusions of the first experiment were that: 1. Complex neurophysiological and clinical diagnostic examinations may help explain the possible etiology of non-specific cervical and back pain arising from active trigger points; 2. The EMG revealed that the symptom of muscle pain depends on the progression of myofascial syndrome.

Studies on patients with cervicogenic headache

In the second experiment similar methodologies of clinical and neurophysiological examinations were used, however, the aim was to verify the hypothesis about dysfunction of cervical and shoulder girdle muscle motor units as the reason for cervicogenic headache (CEH). The performed diagnosis of mainly unilateral CEH with the coexisting myofascial pain syndrome met the criteria of The International Classification of Headache Disorders from 2004.

Clinical methods included evaluation of unilateral headache intensity, using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS = 6.8) in temporal, frontal or occipital areas,
radiating mainly to the trigeminal innervation. The range of cervical movement (ROM) was measured with a goniometer. The most limited movement was flexion at 29° in comparison to the normal range in healthy volunteers at 42°. Pain sensation in the neck and shoulder girdle muscles during their passive elongation (PE) was scored as present (1 – yes) or absent (0 – no), and for the trapezius muscle it amounted to 14/20. Trigger points were found bilaterally with the predominance of CEH side incidence – 17/20 for the trapezius muscle. The strength of muscles was tested with Lovett’s scale and it was scored at about 3.4. Surface EMG readings from the neck and shoulder girdle muscles were bilaterally analyzed at rest and during maximal contraction. The statistical analysis revealed a negative correlation between values of amplitudes in resting EMG and maximal contraction EMG in patients. Positive correlations were found between the increase in resting EMG amplitudes for more than 30 μV and high VAS scores as well as between high-amplitude resting EMG incidence and the increased number of TrPs. Incidence of radiculopathies in patients with CEH was excluded on the basis of clinical and neuroimaging results as well as electroneurographical examinations of the transmission of motor fibers in suprascapular and axillar nerves. CMAPs were normal in the parameters of amplitudes and latencies. Incidence of trigger points found mostly in the trapezium muscle coexisted with the cervicogenic headache intensity. The experiment results led to the following conclusions: 1. Muscle pain in the neck and shoulder girdle muscles meeting the criterion of myofascial pain syndrome coexisted with cervicogenic headache in examined patients; 2. Dysfunction of the trapezius muscles motor units was most responsible for CEH etiology, and again, like in the first experiment, the same resting vs. maximal contraction pattern of motor unit dysfunction was detected.

**General conclusions**

1. Surface EMG performed at rest and during maximal contraction is a precise diagnostic tool that can be used for detection of motor units activity changes in patients with spinal-related myofascial pain syndrome and cervicogenic headache.
2. Surface EMG at rest with an amplitude above 25 μV may be helpful for assessment of increased muscle tension, which in turn leads to decreased motor units activity during maximal contraction (Fig. 4).

**What this study adds?**

The present article demonstrates the results of an sEMG study documenting relationships between the functional state of muscles at rest and during maximal contraction in healthy subjects and patients with myofascial pain syndrome. Muscle related disorders with pain as the main symptom, most frequently arising from overloading, involve increased muscle tension with a simultaneous decrease of motor unit activity during maximal contraction. Palpation does not always precisely reveal increased muscle tension when postisometric relaxation procedures should be implemented.
Figure 4. Diagram illustrating relationships between conditions of proper muscle tension and maximal muscle contraction (left), and relationships when these two parameters are abnormal (right)
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